



॥ न हि ज्ञानेन सदृशं । पवित्रमिह विद्यते ॥

Shriram Shikshan Sanstha's
Shriram Institute of Information Technology, Paniv
Ta. – Malshiras, Dist. – Solapur, [MH] 413113
NAAC Accredited with 'B' Grade



Feedback of student on teacher

Action taken report-2022-23

In the academic year 2022-23 feedback from student on teacher. In feedback ten students gave feedback on respective teacher. In that Eight question was ask to student about teacher by feeling feedback form.

By the analysis of student feedback action taken by IQAC committee of college.

By the analysis of feedback there is need of improvements below.

- Student request to generate more interest in course by teacher.
- Student request to increase accessibility of teacher in and out class
- Student request to conduct more test/puzzle/quiz to develop logic.
- Student request to provide more to feedback in a class.

Action taken

- Our college principal discuss with faculty member about to generating interest of student for course.
- Our college principal discuss with faculty member about accessibility in and out of class..
- Our college principal discuss with faculty member about to test/puzzle/quiz to develop logic Of student in course.
- Our college principal discuss with faculty member about accessibility in and out of class.
- Our college principal discuss with faculty member about giving more time for student to feedback in the class.


Principal
Shriram Institute of Information Technology
Paniv, Malshiras, Dist. Solapur



॥ न हि ज्ञानेन सदृशं पवित्रमिह विद्यते ॥

Shriram Shikshan Santha's

Shriram Institute of Information Technology, Paniv.

Ta. – Malshiras, Dist. – Solapur, [MH] 413113



STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

Department:-

Academic year:.....

Course:

Year/class:.....

Please rate the teacher on the following attribute using the 4 points scale shown

4.00	3.00	2.00	1.50	0.0
A	B	C	D	
VERYGOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	UNSATISFACTORY	

Teacher Name:.....

Sr.No.	Parameter	VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	UNSATISFACTORY
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)				
2	Communication skills (In terms of articulations & comprehensibility)				
3	Sincerity/ commitment of teacher				
4	Interest generated by teacher				
5	Ability to integrate content with other course				
6	Accessibility of teacher in and out class				
7	Ability to design quizzes/test/assignment /examinations and project to evaluate students understanding of course.				
8	Provision of sufficient time for feedback				



॥ न हि ज्ञानेन सदृशं पवित्रमिह विद्यते ॥

Shriram Shikshan Santha's

Shriram Institute of Information Technology, Paniv.

Ta. – Malshiras, Dist. – Solapur, [MH] 413113



STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

Department:- Computer Science

Academic year:- 2022-23

Course:- BSC [ECS]

Year/class:- I

Please rate the teacher on the following attribute using the 4 points scale shown

4.00	3.00	2.00	1.50	0.0
A	B	C	D	
VERYGOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	UNSATISFACTORY	

Teacher Name:- Chaugule, S. M

Sr.No.	Parameter	VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	UNSATISFACTORY
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	✓			
2	Communication skills (In terms of articulations & comprehensibility)		✓		
3	Sincerity/ commitment of teacher	✓			
4	Interest generated by teacher	✓			
5	Ability to integrate content with other course		✓		
6	Accessibility of teacher in and out class		✓		
7	Ability to design quizzes/test/assignment /examinations and project to evaluate students understanding of course.		✓		
8	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	✓			



॥ न हि ज्ञानेन सहसं । पवित्रमिह विद्यते ॥

Shriarm Shikshan Sanstha's

SHRIRAM INSTITUTE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Tal Malshiras Dist Solapur, 413113

NAAC Acredited With 'B' Grade


STUDENT ON TEACHER FEEDBACK ANALYSIS



Name Of Teacher : Prof.Honrao C.P


Q1. Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)

VERYGOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	UNSATISFACTORY
20	10	0	0




Q2. Communication skills (In terms of articulations & comprehensibility)

VERYGOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	UNSATISFACTORY
25	5	0	0



Q3. Sincerity/ commitment of teacher

VERYGOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	UNSATISFACTORY
15	15	0	0






Q4

Interest generated by teacher


VERYGOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	UNSATISFACTORY
18	12	0	



Q5

Ability to integrate content with other course


VERYGOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	UNSATISFACTORY
16	14	0	0



Q6

Accessibility of teacher in and out class

VERYGOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	UNSATISFACTORY
19	11	0	0






Q7

Ability to design quizzes/test/assignment /examinations and project to evaluate students understanding of course


VERYGOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	UNSATISFACTORY
21	9	0	0



Q8

Provision of sufficient time for feedback

VERYGOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	UNSATISFACTORY
22	8	0	0



Overall

VERYGOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	UNSATISFACTORY
144	96	1	0

